

WOMAN'S HERALD

Devoted to the Household, the Fashions and the Activities of Women.

MARY MARSHALL, Editor.
DAILY DEPARTMENT OF THE WASHINGTON HERALD.

Correspondence is invited. Address all communications to the Woman's Editor of The Washington Herald.

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 13, 1915.

The Logical Sex.

Is there any one more logical than a woman when she talks pro or con on the suffrage question?

The answer is: "Yes, the man when he talks pro or con on the suffrage question."

And the debate on the floor of the House of Representatives yesterday was substantiation of this opinion. No one is very logical when it comes to the suffrage question. Prejudices are bound to creep in. Sentiment, tradition, training, disposition, are all sure to have precedence over pure reason when this question of "votes for women" is under consideration. It is a relief to know that this is not all due to the fact of feminine lack of logic.

Surely there never was an anti-suffragist among women who called up more purely sentimental reasons for refusing suffrage to women than did some of the members who opposed the Mendenhall measure. And seldom do the most ardent supporters of the equal franchise have their claims on more hypothetical reasons than did the men who supported the "cause" yesterday in the House.

And this doesn't go to show that women are more logical than they are usually given credit for, for that men are less so than they like to suppose. It simply means that the suffrage question, viewed either by one who favors it or one who opposes it, is a question of vital importance. The movement has reached a crisis in its development, and at a critical period of the working out of any question of public interest, enthusiasm and prejudice overstep the bounds of logic.

WHEN IS A WOMAN HATER REALLY A SUFFRAGIST?

Euripides Loses His Reputation as an "Anti" and Throws His Lot in with the "Suffs."

Supposing that 2,300 years from now the opinion prevailed that Susan B. Anthony was an "anti." Suppose her name, based current as one of the famous enemies of suffrage of antiquity, for we and Susan B. Anthony will be the ancients in those days. Wouldn't it be preposterous? Wouldn't it be absurd?

Yet mistakes like this do slip into currency now and then. Take Euripides, for instance. To most of us his plays are more or less closed books, and no doubt the writings of Sophocles and Aeschylus are even more so. But if we have but one opinion about Euripides, it is that he was a woman hater. After two wives in succession turned out to be total failures as helpmates, the general impression is that he made up his mind that women were of little use in the universe, and that, of most of all, they were totally untrustworthy.

Well, that is just where we have been dreadfully mistaken these many years. Euripides was not a woman hater. In fact, he was as much in favor of "votes for women" as what ever the Greek equivalent of that was as Susan B. Anthony. And all this because he was judged, misjudged, put in the wrong niche in the hall of fame.

It is Miss Clara Bewick Cook, of Washington, who has made this discovery, and today at her lecture at 10:45 in the morning and at 4:45 in the afternoon at the Ethel, she is going to tell us all about it. She says that Euripides was further misjudged.

HOROSCOPE.

Wednesday, January 13, 1915.

Business is subject to a good sign today, according to astrology. Jupiter is in a place powerfully benefic, Saturn and Uranus are adverse.

The time is auspicious for buying, speculating and enlarging commercial activities, if work is done before the afternoon hours.

Prospects for trade are promising, the news dealers, and new industries of extraordinary scope will be established. Warning is given against risks in finance, for "surprising conditions" are foreshadowed.

Bankers are subject to stimulating influences, which will bring about extension of enterprise, but there will be serious setbacks in American ventures. Saturn again bodes ill for the old. Prominent men will die this winter, among them one who has won fame as a diplomat.

Travis is in a place which is said to be conducive to superstition. Mental disturbances, fear of poverty and loss of courage are oftentimes attributed to the evil power of this planet.

The recognition of a nation which has discovered astounding facts in the study of psychology is foretold. Religious activities that will stir race prejudice is prognosticated. Loss of life may result.

There is a sign read as indicating trouble in Hawaii. This may be serious, owing to Japanese complications. The Panama Canal is under a rule indicating problems that will disturb the government. Accidents that retard its usefulness also are foreshadowed.

Serious outbreaks in South Africa are prognosticated in the autumn. Persia is likely to suffer severely within the next few months, for Saturn and Mars are culminating over that country. A fire that causes immense loss is prophesied for Herat.

Persons whose birthdate it is probably will have a year of vicissitudes. Successes will be mingled with disappointments. Danger from false friends is indicated.

Children born on this day are likely to be generous and too fond of company. Boys may have many ups and downs in business. Girls should be guarded against unfortunate love affairs.

(Copyright, 1915.)

Women Farmers.

According to the latest census reports there are now in the United States no less than 1,244,000 women employed in various branches of agriculture, 600,000 of these are girls of sixteen or under, members of corn and tomato clubs, 500,000 are women between sixteen and forty-five years of age, the remainder are women over forty.

Both Sides of Every Question

"Both are essential, man and woman, but differently, and the plea that one is 'superior' to another necessary factor is the same kind of an absurdity as a contention that fire is superior to food in arriving at a well-cooked dinner. The suffragists plead that women have become hunters, trappers, scouts, policemen, etc., apparently proving 'physical equality,' and numbers have become efficient school superintendents, public officials, and executives, and thus shown mental equality. No anti-suffragist has ever contended, as far as I know, that women are less intelligent than men; this is a suffrage bugaboo the vote seekers try to make people believe to keep them from realizing the fact that the real anti argument is that women are natural different from men and cannot be made identical by legislation when the physical laws of the world made them different by nature. But there is not much difference between men and women, suffragists contend. No, there is not, but what there is was put there by nature, and it is the anti-suffragists' aim to have women maintain these natural differences, and to resist the ambition of a few feminists to erect an artificial political 'equality' not consonant with true progress of 'sex differentiation' and 'division of labor' that distinguishes civilization from savagery."—John T. Heron, Vice President, National Association Opposed to Woman Suffrage.

If Babies Were Pigs.

"We women are often told that our most sacred duty and our greatest privilege is the nurture and the care of little children. We accept that. We believe that most women's lives are based on that, but that we have women to say to this fact, that out of every 1,000 children born into this world, 110 die this first year of their lives. There are, roughly, 100,000 children born into this country every year, and the rate of mortality amongst them is 10,000. We are told by the doctors and by the people who prepare these reports that this tremendous heavy death-rate is due to preventable causes. I venture to put it to you that if there were a death-rate of one out of every thousand there would immediately be a commission—the thing would be discussed in parliament, drastic measures would be taken, and the question, 'Why is that?' because pigs being to men's sphere; but babies are the business of women.—Emmeline Pethick Lawrence, the English nonmillant suffragist.

Why Women Chatter.

"Talkativeness is a hallmark of femininity. It is a woman's nature, to be admitted, but she is not unkind. She is like a moon in eclipse, mysterious and fascinating, but not for daily life. The new woman has not made over the sex in contemptuous of talkativeness. To allow the simple interests of daily life to run unobserved and merely of the tedious does not harmonize with the strenuous career she has planned for womanhood. Not that she would shut her mouth. Far from it. She would make her a conversationalist, and a talker. There is the same distinction between the two that there is between the agriculturist and the farmer. There is the same term of life, while the agriculturist is an experimenter for a day, the farmer goes on forever.

"For a habit which persists through the ages, in the face of censure and ridicule, as women's talkativeness has, there is a reason. Generally it lies in the depths of life, where critics do not always expect to find it. It is a woman's persistent habit of chattering has its reason.—Mia Tarbell, in the February Woman's Home Companion.

HISTORIC STOVE RESTORED.

Franklin's Gift to Thomas Paine Purchased by Huguenot Association. Sent to the Washington Herald.

New York, Jan. 12.—The stove that was presented to Benjamin Franklin by Thomas Paine, writer and patriot, was restored yesterday to Paine's former home, now the headquarters of the Huguenot Association in North avenue, New York.

Walter T. Bell, of Woodbury, Conn., who purchased the stove thirty-nine years ago from the former owner of the Paine house, sold it to the Huguenot Association. The stove was one of the first made by Franklin. It is now in the room once used by Paine as his study.

TODAY'S FASHION NOTE.



A lovely wrap, trimmed in oyster blue broadcloth, trimmed with a collar and cuffs of tulle velvet. It is suitable for ladies and misses. There is a tiny round shoulder yoke, though this is almost entirely covered with the large collar. Required to make the model are 4 1/2 yards of 44-inch material. Pictorial Review Pattern No. 502, Sizes 32 to 44 inches bust. Price, 15 cents.

Unmarried Folk Best Judges of Marriage.



A man sometimes cannot see the forest of marriage because of a particular weeping willow of a wife.

Marry young or don't marry at all, for as we grow older our power of illusion grows less.

Too often today marriage is a device for giving the sanction of respectability to two people who wish to live together.

Let there be plenty of room in the new home—if young couples are wise they will have separate apartments.

FRANCES STARR.

short-lived romantic period—what might be called sentimental atavism—nearly always turn out disastrously. Youth is the natural time for contracting marriages. Wives, however, have always bewailed youthful and romantic marriages on the ground that young people are not content to judge. But if people wait until they were competent to judge they would be very apt not to marry at all. It is a silly objection, for it would lead to the going away with marriages altogether.

Sordidness of Modern Love.

"Love in a cottage" is ordinarily sneered at. Of course, love in a palace is better—if you can have the palace. But I am thinking of the case in which the couple in the cottage are in the wrong. And then it would seem almost self-evident that hard times with love is to be preferred to hard times without.

"One of the chief causes underlying the hesitancy of modern young people to marry is the economic dependence of women, the medieval idea that marriage is woman's only profession. A helpless, non-self-supporting wife is enough to cause any man in his senses to stop and consider. Young men of today do not wish to marry until their career is assured and their income large; the young women who are dependent on that career and that income instead of on their own, inevitably foster and encourage this wish. When women are individuals capable of earning their own living and in the habit of doing so, like men, young men will not hesitate to marry so much as they do today. One big cause of our present-day delayed marriages is, therefore, moved. Marriage is a sacred institution only as it enables people to work together productively and happily. Too often today it is but a device for giving the sanction of respectability to two people who wish to live together.

Upon one point, that married people should not see too much of each other, I am firmly convinced. Young couples should not forget that all romance is worn off by intimacy—it is a wonder to the young that they can get so close. I am a firm believer in the fact that the strain of the honeymoon when the two persons who have been very busy idealizing each other all during courtship are forced into each other's company without any possible means of getting away, and discover that the beloved is a human being with many petty like and dislikes after all. It is the most depressing feeling in the world to realize that they know all the secret closets and chambers of each other, and that they are no longer the idealized figures of their youth. There should be an element of novelty and surprise left to everybody. One should always leave there are some more ideas to unlock.

Privacy in the Modern Home.

Therefore, I say let there be plenty of room in the young people's new home who have just married. If they are wise, they will separate their bedrooms.

I do not wonder that Mr. and Mrs. Havelock Ellis have been an ideally happy couple for so many years. Each has his own room, and they have a study in London and lives his own individual life. Far from agreeing with Mr. Cyril Maude that actresses should not marry actors, or vice versa, as one prefers, because the exigencies of their profession will often demand that they be separated—I think they are the few reasons why actresses should marry actors. Any husband who gave one an occasional vacation would have at least one commendable virtue. The few reasons why actresses should marry actors are the details of daily existence and the complete lack of companionship in work—that is what the average marriage of today is too apt to become. I believe in just the reverse.

Who Says Hello? Not the "Hello Girl" Nowadays

The Telephone Companies and Business Offices Generally Have Dropped the Famous American Word of Greeting. Washingtonians Have Time for "Please."

"What should I say when the phone rings?" This question was brought up in the office of The Woman's Herald yesterday, and to settle the dispute for every one present had a different suggestion to offer—the question was taken up with the telephone office.

Central opens the conversation with "Number, please." In some cities they have dropped the please because it is supposed to take too much time, but Washington still has time enough for politeness. The answer should be "Main 230, please." If central has time to be polite certainly you have. Besides, "please" takes less than a second to pronounce, and it does you good to say it.

When you are vexed for any real or imagined fault of the operator just stop to remember that the "hello girl" isn't allowed to use anything but a certain code of expression. "The line is busy" or "Main 230 doesn't answer," and a few other phrases are her only permitted remarks. It is like trying to fight a man when he is handcuffed to show your temper with "central." If you want to "quitter" ask for the chief operator or the manager's office or some one who is allowed to "answer back." If you deserve it. Don't be a telephone coward.

When you do get your number, if it is a large business office or office the answer probably will not be "hello." It will be "Washington Herald." You should then say, "I wish to speak with Mr. Smith," and the person at the phone will probably say: "Who wishes to speak with him?" or "Who shall I say is calling?" This is a necessary rule in many offices, and to take exception to giving your name is of course, absurd. You wouldn't expect to go into a friend's house and be admitted to your friend's drawing-room without first having given your name to the servant at the door. This preliminary question is a safeguard against the intrusion of all sorts of persons who might interrupt busy men and women on the telephone.

Many women when they telephone open the conversation with "Hello, is this Mrs. Jones?" which is really a very rude way

TELEPHONE DON'TS.

Don't have words with "central." Remember she is not allowed to "talk back."

Don't omit the please. It takes less than a second.

Don't refuse to give your name first, when you are calling.

Don't telephone a man at his office to have a social chat.

Don't gossip or exchange confidence over the phone. Remember that there may be a "leak" on the wire.

of using the telephone. It is always the duty of the one who telephones to give his or her name first, and Mrs. Jones might not be to be blamed in the least if she flatly refuses to answer the question till you have said, "This is Mrs. Smith, calling." This is the only way to get through to Mrs. Jones.

In many business offices there is a rule that those telephoning must give their names before the one who answers the phone. It is the person asked for who is in or out. The object of this is to protect the busy man or woman in a business office from the intrusion of a caller who does not know whether the person asked for is in or not. Yet usually when a woman telephones to a business man and the operator asks for her name, and then upon calling the branch phone of the man in question answers, "Mr. Smith is not in," discord is immediately sown.

"The impertinent little telephone girl," the woman will say to herself. "Why didn't she say he wasn't in at first?" Or she will assume that Mr. Smith actually was in, but stupidly didn't want to speak to her.

Don't be a telephone coward and don't be a telephone kicker.

shall. Mrs. Marshall said: "I believe in this idea to the fullest extent and will work and buy American-made articles."

Other notable additions to the list of honorary chairmen and honorary patrons yesterday were Mrs. William A. C. Rogers, wife of the Governor of the National Democratic League; Mrs. Wheeler, wife of former Representative Wheeler, of California; Mrs. Lloyd W. Bowers, Mrs. Samuel Spencer, Mrs. Eleanor J. Hill, wife of Representative-elect Hill, of Connecticut; Mrs. Charles M. Lewis, of Louisville, Ky.; Mrs. Timothy T. Ansberry, wife of Representative-elect Ansberry, of Ohio, who has just been elected circuit judge at Lima, Ohio; Mrs. Walter C. W. Rogers, wife of the president general of the Daughters of the American Revolution of Montana; Miss Grace M. Pierce, former registrar general of the United States; Mrs. George D. Chenoweth, Woodbury, N. J., chairman, national publication committee of the Daughters of the American Revolution.

MADE IN U. S. LEAGUE REPORTS PROGRESS

Mrs. Clarence Bleakley and Julia Marlowe Indorse Patriotic Movement.

More noted women of national society, governors' wives, and heads of great women's organizations rallied enthusiastically to the support of the Women's National League in U. S. League yesterday became honorary chairmen, members of the national committee, and patrons of the league.

Mrs. Philip N. Moore, honorary president and former active president of the General Federation of Women's Clubs, wired from St. Louis, Mo., "I shall consider appointment an honor and will work for cause."

Mrs. Clarence L. Bleakley, president general of the Daughters of the Revolution, accepted as honorary chairman and stated that the Daughters of the Revolution would indorse the movement at their general society board meeting to be held in New York on Monday next.

THE GREAT WHITE WAY

By O. O. MINTYRE.

(Special Correspondence of The Washington Herald.)

New York, Jan. 12.—Mrs. William K. Russey, the first woman taxi driver, has been driving a brand-new machine out of Jack Dalton's garage, and is the town's only feminine taxi driver.

Jack Dalton, course him—says, some men were in the garage at work on a car when Mrs. Russey entered and asked if she could have the car. The man smiled and winked at each other, but in a few minutes Mrs. Russey showed that she knew more about a taxi than they ever dreamed of knowing.

Since then Jack Dalton—another course for him—has employed her regularly at his garage and now she will have a steady stand. The number of her car is 4518.

In commenting upon the selection of "Wild Bill" Donovan as manager of the Yankees, a New York newspaper says: "Wild Bill" Donovan has never taken a drink in his life, and adds, "Donovan also never has managed the Yankees."

ROSES PERSISTENT.

Fred Dayton, the advertising man, has been reached by a Broadway ad writer, who writes copy for a cigar and cigarette holder.

"For a candleholder," he says, "it will come in very handy in many cases at funerals."

The charge of plagiarism against play authors is beginning to stir literary folk who try seriously to put across plays now and then. The charge has been made for some time that the folk who are having their plays produced are usually employed by play producers and have access to the plays that are sent in from all over the country.

There may be no connection between the coincidence of plays appearing under other names after the original idea was sent in by some aspiring playwright—but the similarity in a number of instances is so great that it is discouraging the young author.

Charles Hanson Towne, the poet, overheard two girls discussing a proposed birthday gift for a friend while riding in the subway.

"I think I will give Mae a book," "Oh, I wouldn't do that, she has one."

A member of the Lambs was visiting at the Players. As the evening grew the Lambs grew rather boisterous. Finally he attracted the attention of Julian Street. "A Lamb in Player's clothing," he commented.

SUE CABINET MEMBERS.

Action by Discharged Employees to Get Back Their Positions.

The controversy between the different organizations and government officials as to the right of department heads to dismiss or honorably reduce discharged soldiers and sailors reached a climax yesterday when two mandamus suits were filed to test the rights of the soldiers.

George Dean, a former employee of the Washington Postmaster General Bureau and Postmaster Praeger, claiming he was unlawfully removed, M. S. Persing, a former employee of the Navy Yard, filed a similar suit against Secretary Daniels and Commandant Eberle.

The plaintiffs ask to be restored to their former positions. Attorney Frank E. Elder represents them.

"Hold the Fort" Wigwagger Dead. Terre Haute, Ind., Jan. 12.—Samuel Wagner, who at Altoona, Pa., was wigwagger Sherman's famous war message, "Hold the fort, I am coming," is dead at Paris, Ill., aged seventy-three.

HOUSE-WIVES DAILY ECONOMY CALENDAR

CUTTING DOWN THE MEAT BILL.

It is getting to be an old story—this admonition to exercise economy in buying meat, but there is a great deal still to be learned by American housewives. In many ways American housewives are the best cooks in the world, in spite of the fact that they get very little praise along that line. In cleanliness, which is surely a very important quality in good cooking, American cooks are equaled by none others. In general understanding of principles of nutrition, American housewives are at the head of the list. But in the matter of economical use of meats they have little to boast of.

It is always best for the housewife to order tenderloin or sirloin steak than any other. At best she orders round steak, which is not especially economical. As a matter of fact, round steak costs much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or for use in all sorts of dishes, round steak is much less than any of these cuts, and with proper treatment can be cooked with just as good results. The fillet is a little tougher with the old-fashioned method of pounding the steak till the tough fibers have been broken, this difficulty can be minimized. For pot roasts or